

### Country cardiograms case 46: Answer

Charles Helm, MD,  
CCFP  
Tumbler Ridge, BC

**F**igure 1 (on page 26) shows normal sinus rhythm at a rate of 90 beats/min. PR interval is normal. Compared with the previous tracing, left atrial abnormality is present, and QRS duration has increased to 0.12 seconds. The axis is 0°. ST segment depression is seen in leads V2 through V6, I and aVL. ST segment elevation is present in leads III and aVF.

A QRS duration of 0.12 seconds or more in the presence of a deep, wide S wave or QS complex in lead V1 suggests a left bundle branch block (LBBB). However, caution is appropriate in diagnosing this. Because the duration is “borderline,” myocardial infarction may lead to slightly wider QRS complexes, and the QRS morphology in the 2 tracings is reasonably similar (other than in width).

This is important because LBBB that is known to be of new onset can be used as a criterion for thrombolysis if the clinical setting strongly suggests myocardial infarction. The changes of acute ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) can be difficult to identify in the presence of LBBB, and the opportunity for early thrombolysis might thus be missed in such cases. Furthermore, patients with acute myocardial infarction and new LBBB have a higher mortality rate and may derive substantial benefit from thrombolysis. Against this benefit must be weighed the risks of thrombolysis in those who receive it unnecessarily.

Some clinicians may be hesitant to use the “new LBBB” criterion in this case. Can the ST–T changes be used to bolster the case for thrombolysis, even though LBBB may be present?

Indeed they can, and it is a common

misconception that LBBB inevitably obscures the changes of an acute STEMI. It is necessary, then, to know the typical ST–T changes associated with LBBB to recognize what is abnormal. Such ST–T changes are directed away from the QRS forces. The tall, wide, often notched positive QRS complexes typically seen in LBBB in leads I, aVL, V5 and V6, for example, are followed by down-sloping, depressed ST segments and inverted T waves. The ST segment elevation of an acute STEMI may be “cancelled out” by such ST segment depression, resulting in an ST segment that is close to the baseline. If ST segment elevation is seen in these leads, that would therefore be a significant abnormality.

By contrast, the typical deep S wave seen with LBBB in V1 and V2 is typically followed by ST elevation and a tall T wave. However, this elevation is “concave up,” in other words, not coved or “tombstone” in appearance. A coved, tombstone appearance in these leads would also be abnormal. Alternatively, in these leads if there is MI-induced ST segment elevation, it would be added to the LBBB-induced ST segment elevation. In the right clinical context, extreme ST segment elevation in these leads would therefore also suggest an anteroseptal STEMI pattern. ST segment depression in these leads would definitely be abnormal.

In Figure 1, considerable ST segment depression is present in leads V2 and V3. This clearly is an abnormal finding, which cannot be related to LBBB. It may represent an anterior ischemic pattern or posterior STEMI. In lead V3 the ST segment depression has a horizontal appearance, with an abrupt

transition to the onset of the T wave; this further strengthens suspicion of an ischemic process. By contrast, the ST-T changes seen in leads V5, V6, and leads I and aVL, appear to be consistent with LBBB.

The inferior leads display significant ST segment elevation in III and aVF, with a coved appearance that is more apparent in aVF. The reciprocal changes of ST segment depression seen in leads I and aVL are impressive but, as noted above, they may be secondary changes to LBBB and are therefore not of much use. A 15-lead electrocardiogram would be of further use and should always be obtained when

inferior myocardial infarction is considered.

These abnormal ST segment changes in leads III, aVF, V2 and V3 were considered substantial enough, combined with the clinical scenario, to proceed with thrombolysis. Follow-up testing of troponin T showed the level was significantly increased at 0.45 µg/L (> 0.10 µg/L is consistent with myocardial infarction).

**For the question, see page 26.**

**Competing interests:** None declared.

---

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS

---

The *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine (CJRM)* is a quarterly peer-reviewed journal available in print form and on the Internet. It is the first rural medical journal in the world indexed in Index Medicus, as well as MEDLINE/PubMed databases.

*CJRM* seeks to promote research into rural health issues, promote the health of rural and remote communities, support and inform rural practitioners, provide a forum for debate and discussion of rural medicine, provide practical clinical information to rural practitioners and influence rural health policy by publishing articles that inform decision-makers.

Material in the following categories will be considered for publication.

**Original articles:** research studies, case reports and literature reviews of rural medicine (3500 words or less)

**Commentary:** editorials, regional reviews and opinion pieces (1500 words or less)

**Clinical articles:** practical articles relevant to rural practice. Illustrations and photos are encouraged (2000 words or less)

**Off Call articles:** a grab-bag of material of general interest to rural doctors (e.g., travel, musings on rural living, essays) (1500 words or less)

**Cover:** artwork with a rural theme

### Manuscript submission

Submit 2 hard copies of the manuscript to the Editor, *Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine*, 45 Overlea Blvd., P.O. Box 22015, Toronto ON M4H 1N9, and an electronic version, preferably by email to [cjrm@cjrm.net](mailto:cjrm@cjrm.net), or on CD. The preferred electronic version is an older Word format (in doc format such as Word 2003 or older — not docx). Digital art and photos must accompany the manuscript in separate files (see “Electronic figures and illustrations”).

Hard copies of the manuscript should be double-spaced, with a separate title page containing the authors names and titles and a word count, an abstract of no more than 200 words (for original articles category), followed by the text, full references and tables (each table on a separate page). Reference marks should be typed in the text and enclosed by brackets <1> and listed in the order of appearance at the end of the text and not prepared using electronic EndNotes or Footnotes. The approved style guide for the manuscript is the “Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals” (see [www.cmaj.ca/site/authors/policies.xhtml](http://www.cmaj.ca/site/authors/policies.xhtml)).

Include a covering letter from the corresponding author indicating that the piece has not been published or submitted for publication elsewhere and indicate the category in which the article should be considered. Please provide the name and contact information of a potential independent reviewer for your work.

### Electronic figures and illustrations

Illustrations should be in JPG, EPS, TIFF or GIF formats as produced by the camera at a minimal resolution of 300 dpi (typically a 2 mega pixel or better camera for 10 × 15 cm image). Do not correct colour or contrast as our printer will do that. Do not include text or captions in the image. If you need to crop the picture ensure that you save with the highest quality (lowest compression). Do not scan art or reduce the resolution of the photos unless you indicate in the cover letter that you have done so and will also be forwarding high resolution copies on either CD or as camera ready art.

### Written permissions

Written permission must be provided for the reproduction of previously published material, for illustrations that identify human subjects, and from any person mentioned in the Acknowledgements or cited as the source of a Personal Communication.